

A RECORD OF THE PROGRESS OF THE SCIENCE AND ETHICS OF SPIRITUALISM.

No. 15 .- Vol. I.

LONDON: TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1870.

Published on the 15th of every Month; Price Threepence.

MR. JOHN BRIGHT, M.P., ON SPIRITUALISM.

Mr. J. M. PERBLES, the United States Consul at Trebizond, who last winter visited England on his way home, and who was elected an Honorary Fellow of the Anthropological Society, recently delivered a lecture on his European travels, at Battle Creek, near Chicago. That lecture is printed in a newspaper called The Present Age, published by Mr. D. M. Fox, 106, Randolph-street, Chicago. The date of the paper containing the lecture is September 3rd, 1870, and in the course of the lecture Mr. Poebles made the following statement :-

"While in England I dined with John Bright, when transpired quite an earnest conversation on the subject of Spiritualism. He said he had witnessed some of 1). D. Home's manifestations. They were wonderful. He could attribute them to no cause unless it be the one alleged, that of intelligent disembodied spirits. 'But,' he added, with due caution, 'I do not say that this is so, but if it be true, it is the strongest tangible proof we have of immortality."

## MRS. H. B. STOWE ON SPIRITUALISM.

Mns. Srows has just published some articles in her MIS. Grows as just poblished some articles in her brother's paper, the Church Union, in which she testi-fies to the truth of the phenomena of Sprittanlism. She says:—"We hold to the belief in the unbroken unity possible between those who have passed to the higher life and this." things unseen which was the strength of primitive Christians. The first Christians believed what they said they did—we do not. The unseen spiritual world, its angels and archangels, its saints and martyrs, its purity and its joys, were ever before them, and that is why they were such a mighty force in the world. St. Augustine says that it was the vision of the saints St. Augustine says that it was the vision of the saints gone before that inspired them with courage and cou-tempt of death—and it is true."

After speaking of Spiritual manifestations, she says:—"These remarkable phenomena which affect

belief upon this subject are not confined to poid mediums and spiritual circles, so called. They somemeasures and spiritual circles, so called. They some-times come of themselves to persons neither believing in them, looking for them, nor seeking them. Thus coming, they cannot but powerfully and tenderly move the soul."

# SPIRITUAL PHENOMENA ON BOARD AN ATLANTIC TRIEGRAPH SHIP.

Anour the middle of last month, Mr. James Graves Superistandent of the Angle-American Tolograph Com-pany's office at Valencia, informed Mr. C. F. Varley, the electrician, that some excitement had been caused among the crew of the cable-repairing ship Robert Loses, by the alleged appearance of several spirits to one of the men. The commander of the ship, Captain James Blacklock, afterwards met Mr. Varley, and, on being questioned, confirmed the statement. Mr. Varley then informed us of the circumstances, and the result was that the following document was drawn up and signed, in order to fully outbenticate the whole sarra-

this is what the witness says he saw:-

I was standing on one side of the bunk, and while were dressed in black. trying to prevent Pearco from rising, I saw on the other! The appearance of the spirits of persons still living side of the bunk, the wife, two children, and the mother in the body is not at all an uncommon circumstance, of the dying man, all of whom I knew very well, and is one of the most perplexing facts connected with

and they are all still living. They appeared to be very serrowful, but in all other respects were the same as ordinary human beings. I could not see through them; they were not at all transparent. They had on their ordinary clothes, and, perhaps, looked rather paler than usual. The mother said to me, in a clearly audible voice, 'He will be baried on Thursday, at twelve o'clock, in about fourteen hundred futhous of water.' manal. They all then vanished instantaneously, and I saw them no more. Pearce did not see them, as he was delirious, and had been so for two days previously. I ran out of the berth in a state of great excitement, and did not enter it again while he was alive. He died on Tuesday, not Thursday, and was buried at four o'clock, and no teelve. It was a sudden surprise to me to see the appartitions. I expected nothing of the kind, and whon I first saw them I was perfectly cool and collected. I had never before seen anything of the kind in my life, and my health is, and always has been, good. About five minutes afterwards I told Captain Blacklock I would stop with the sick man no longer, but would not then tell him why, thinking that, if I did, nobody else would take my place. About an hour later, I told Captain Blacklook and Mr. Dunbar, the chief engineer, Captain Blacklock and Mr. Dunbar, the chief engineer whose address is, 'Old Mill, near Port William, Wigtownshire, Scotland,

townshire, Scottand.

The other sailors on board sny that they saw that
Mr. Brown was greatly agitated from some cause, and
they gradually drew this narrative out of him. Captain
Blacklock says:—

"Brown came down into the cabin, looking very

pale and frightened, and declared in a strong and decided way that he would not attend the sick man any more on any conditions—not for a thousand pounds. I told him that he ought to attend a sick and dying comrade, especially as a storm was raging, and he needed kind and considerate help, such as any of us might need one day. I pressed him all the mare, as I wanted a strong steady man to attend the delirious invalid; besides, it being bad weather, the other men were fagged and overworked. Brown would not go back, and he left the cabin, as I think, crying, so I sent him out a glass of brandy. Shortly after that I heard he was very ill, and that his mates had some trouble in soothing and calming him.

We, the undersigned officials on board the Robert Loue, declare the above statements to be true, so far as each of the circumstances come under our personal notice, but we none of us commit curselves to any opinion as to the cause of the phenomenon. We give this statement simply because we have been requested to do so, rumours of the occurrence having gone abroad and caused enquiries to be made.

(Signed) J. Blackbook, Commander. DAVID BROWN, Stoker. ANDREW DUNDAR, First Engineer. REUBEN RICHARDSON, Stoker. ROBERT KNOX, Trimmer. HENRY HAMMOND, Stoker. Jonn Woodcock, Stoker. HENRY Pugit, Cook, (27, Queen-street, Horsleydown, Bermondsey.) Witness, W. H. Harmone.

October 20th, 1670.

STATEMENT OF THE CAPTAIN AND OFFICERS.

The steamship Robert Love returned to the Thames on Tuesday, Oct. 11th, from St. Pierre, Newfoundland, where she had been repairing one of the French Athantic Telegraph Company's Cables. An engineer on board, Mr. W. H. Pearce, of 37, Augusta-street, East India-road, Poplar, was taken ill with the typins forer, and on the debt of Oct. last, he died. One of his matter, Mr. D. Brown, of 1, Edward-street, Hudson's-road Canning Town, Philatow, a strong, healthy man, a stoker, not fikely to be led satray by imagination, attended him till the day before he died. On the after-moon before his death, at three o'clock, in bread daylight, threw was attending the sick man, who wanted to get her,—she had an impression she change of the captain and crow, and he had a strong friendship for the decemed. He told us that something strange had happened in London, causing Mrs. Pearce to anticipate the nears of her break of the middle of the mistertune when the Robert returned to the 10 the strend was then a week before her husband's death, so that it was not a very great surprise to ber to hear of the mistertune when the Robert returned to the 25th September, rather less than a week before her husband's death, so that it was not a very great surprise to ber to hear of the mistertune when the Robert returned to the told us, that something strange had happened in London, causing Mrs. Pearce to anticipate the nears of her her was not the strend to mister the strong friendship for the decement. He told us, that on the 25th september, returned to the rite of the mister two and the mister was not a very great surprise to be returned to a might at the house of her mether-in-law in Camben-town. In the middle of the night show was attending the sick man, who wanted to get the captain and crow, and he had a strong friendship for the decement. He told us, that on the 25th vas not a very great surprise to the told us. The witness Brown bears the best of characters, is out of bed, but his companion prevented him. And news,-and afterwards she had fearful dreams, to the effect that she was a widow, and that all her children

Spiritualism. Sometimes the spirits of the persons seen are really present, but in such cases the individuals themselves are usually asleep or insensible, so far as their bodies are concerned. Sometimes spirits, by will-power and mesmeric influence, act upon the organs of sight and hearing of the medium; in such cases what the spirit thinks the medium sees, and the teachings or news thus given are usually symbolical. Sometimes visions are caused or favoured by disease, Sometimes visions are caused or myoured by ancase, without the intervention of spirits. Perhaps the second of these three influences was the cause of the night seen on board the Robert Lowe, and probably attempts were made both on board ship and in London to commonicate.

N ANSWER TO THE ARGUMENTS OF HUME, LECKY, AND OTHEDS, AGAINST MIRACLES.\*

BY ALPEED B. WALLACE, P.Z.S., F.R.G.S.,

\*resident of the Enternological Society, Anthor of "The Mainy Archipelage, and "Gostribetions to the Theory of Natural Sciences."

It is now generally admitted, that those opinions and beliefs in which men have been educated generation after generation, and which have thus come to form part of their mental nature, are especially liable to be part of their mental instarc, are especially liable to be orroscous, because they keep alive and perpetuate the ideas and prejudices of a bygone and less enlightened age. It is therefore in the interest of truth, that every doctrine or belief, however well established or surred they may appear to be, should at certain intervals be challenged to arm themselves with such facts and chainings as they possess, to most their opponents in the open field of controversy, and do battle for their right to live. Nor can any exemption be claimed in favour of those beliefs which are the product of modern civilisation, and which have, for several generations, been held unquestioned by the great mass of the educated community; for the projudice in their favour will be proportionately great, and, as was the case with the doctrines of Aristotle and the dogmas of the schoolmen, they may live on by more weight of authority and force of habit, long after they have been shown to be opposed alike to fact and to reason. There have been times when popular beliefs were defended by the terrors of the law, ed when the sceptic could only attack them at the seil of his life. Now we all admit that truth can take peril of his life. care of itself, and that only error needs protection. But there is another mode of defence which equally implies a claim to certain and absolute truth, and which is therefore equally unworthy and unphilosophical—that of rideale, misrepresentation, or a contemptaces refusal to discuss the question at all. This method is used among us even now, for there is one belief, or rather disbelief, whose advocates claim more than papal infallibility, by refusing to examine the evidence brought against it, and by alleging general arguments which have been in use for two centuries to prove that it cannot be erroneous. The belief to which I allude is, that all alleged miracles are false; that what is commonly understood by the term superwateral does not exist, or if it does is incapable of proof by any amount of human testiment; that all the phenomena we can have cognisance of depend on ascertainable physical laws, and that so other intelligent beings than man and the inferior animals can or do act upon our material world. These views have been now held almost unquestioned for many goverations; they are inculcated as an essential part of a liberal education; they are popular, and are held to be one of the indications of our intellectual advancement; and they have become so much a part of our mental nature that all facts and arguments brought against them are either ignored as unworthy of serious consideration, or listened to with undisquised contempt. Now this frame of mind is certainly not one invocrable to the discovery of truth, and strikingly rescubles that by which, in former ages, systems of error have been festered and maintained. The time has, therefore, come when it must be called upon to justify itself.

This is the more necessary because the dectrine, whether true or false, actually rests upon a most unsafe and rotten foundation. I propose to show you that the best arguments hitherto relied upon to prove it are, one

<sup>•</sup> This paper, by Hr, Walker, was read but night at the first of a saried excity awares it the Bestivers Bream. Hartey-street, Cavendid-square Mr. Bergardon, Colstoner provided, and there was a large and intelligent scales. The particularied the dispersion on the paper will be published in the lieft member of Zee apartless Jeen.

and all, fallacious, and prove nothing of the kind. But and all, fallacious, and prove nothing of the kind. But a theory or belief may be supported by very bad arguments, and yet be true; while it may be supported by some good arguments, and yet be false. But there never was a true theory which had no good arguments to support it. If therefore all the arguments hitheeto used against miracles in general can be shown to be had, it will below exception to discover good ones; and if they cannot do so, the oridence in favour of miracles must be fairly met and judged on its own merits, not ruled out of ourt as it is now.

if they cannot do so, the orishence in favour of miracles must be fairly met and judged on its own merits, not ruid out of court as it is now.

It will be perceived, therefore, that my present perpese is to clear the ground for the discussion of the great question of the so-called supernatural. I shall not attempt be bring arguments either for or against the main proposition, but shall confine myself to on examination of the allegations and the reasonings which have been supposed to settle the whole question on general grounds. One of the most remarkable works of the great Scotch philosopher, David Hame, is An Isquiry concerning Hawass Understanding, and the teath chapter of this work is On Miracles, in which coors the arguments which are so often quested to show that no evidence can prove a miracle. Hume himself had a very high opinion of this part of his work, for he says at the beginning of the chapter, "I flatter myself that I have discovered an argument which, if just, will with the supernitions dolusion, and cossequently will be useful as long as the world codures; for so long, I presume, will the accounts of miracles and prodigion be found in all history, seared and produme."

### DESTRICTION OF THE TERM "MIRACLE."

all history, secret and profune."

DEFINITION OF THE TEAM "MIRACEA."

After a few general observations on the nature of evidence and the value of human testimony in different cases, he proceeds to define what he means by a miracle. And here at the very beginning of the subject we find that we have to take objection to Hume's definition an intracle, which exhibits unfounded assumptions and false promises. He gives, two definitions in different parts of his cessy. The first is, "A miracle is a violation of the laws of nature." The second is, "A miracle is a transgression of a law of nature by a particular voiition of the Deity, or by the interposition of semi invisible agent." Now both these definitions are hed or imperfect. The first assumes that we know all the laws of nature, that the particular offset coard not be preduced by some unknown law of nature overcoming the law we do know; it assumes, also, that if an invisible and intelligent being held an apple asspended in the air, that act would violate the law of gravity. The second is not precise; it should be "some invisible intelligent being beld an apple asspended in the air, that act would violate the law of gravity. The second is not precise; it should be "some invisible intelligent being sequent were first discovered, and before they were ascertained to form part of the order of nature, would answer accurately be this definition of a miracle. Tho words "violation" and "transgrossion are both improperly used, and really beg the question by the definition. How does Hame know that any particular miracle is a violation of a law of nature, and these words, as we shall see, reats his whole orgument.

Before proceeding further, it is necessary for us to consider what is the true definition of a miracle, or what is most commonly meant by that word. A miracle, as distinguished from a new and unheard of natural phenomenon, supposes an intelligent superhuman agent a proceeding further.

what is most commonly instruct by that work? A statistical set distinguished from a new and unheard of actural phenomenon, supposes an intelligent superhuman agent either visible or invisible; it is not necessary that what is done should be beyond the power of man to de. The simplest oction, if performed independently of human or visible agency, such as a tecupy lifted in the air at request, as by an invisible hand and without assignable cause, would be universally admitted to be a mirrale, as much so as the lifting of a house into the air, the instantaneous healing of a wound, or the instantaneous production of an elaborate drawing. My definition of a mirrale therefore is as follows:—"Any act or event implying the existence and agency of superhuman intelligences," considering the human soul or spirit, if manifested out of the body, as one of these superhuman intelligencies. This definition is more complete than that of Huma, and defines more accurately the essence of that which is commonly termed a mirrade. of that which is commonly termed a miracle.

THE EVIDENCE OF THE REALITY OF MIRACLES. We now have to consider Hume's arguments. The first is us follows :---

first is as follows:—

"A miracle is a wislation of the laws of nature; and as a fern and matterable superience has established these laws, the proof against a miracle, from the very nature of the fact, the proof against a miracle, from the very nature of the fact, it as eatice as any segment from appariance can possibly be imagined. Why is it more than probable that all more mark-field into consumes work as the second of viets? we work approach of the relation of these values of nature of the required a value of a property of the results of these laws, or, in other words a miracle, fit is even large-real them. I Nothing is estemmed a miracle, fit were large-real than the consumer of the recursion of these laws, or, in other words a miracle, fit were large-real in the common course of nature. It is no miracle that a man seemingly in good leadth should do on a sudden it became such a kind of death, though more unusual than any other, has yet been frequently observed to life; because that has near been absenced in any size or common the country. There must, therefore, be an uniform experience against every miraculous event, otherwise the event would not morth.

This argument is radically fallacious, because if it were sound, no perfectly new fact could ever be proved, since the first and each succeeding witness would be assumed to have universal experience against him. Such a simple fact as the existence of flying fish could never be proved, if Hume's argument is a good one; for the first man who awa and described one, would have the universal experience against him that fish do set fly, or make any approach to flying, and his evidence being rejected, the same argument would apoly to the second, and to every subsequent witness, and those man at the present duty who has not seen a llying fish ought to believe that such things exist.

Again, painless operations in a state produced by more passes of the hand, were, trenty-dre years ago, maintained to be contrary to the laws of nature, our trary to all human experience, and therefore incredible. On Hume's principles they were miracles, and no amount of testimony could ever prove them to be real. This argument is radically fallacious, because if it

On Humo's principles they were miracles, and no smount of testimony could ever prove them to be real. But miracles do not stand alorie, single facts opposed to uniform experience. Reputed miracles abound in all periods of history; every one has a host of others leading up to it; and every one has strictly analogous facts testified to at the present day. The uniform opposing experience, therefore, on which Hume lays to much stress does not exist. What, for instance, can be a more striking miracle than the levitation or raising of the human body into the air without visible cause, yet this fact has been testified to during a long series of centuries.

A few well known examples are those of St. Praceis

series of centuries.

A few well known examples are those of St. Francis d'Assisi, who was often seen by many persons to rise in the air, and the fact is testified to by his secretary; who could only reach his feet. Saint Theresa, a num in a convent in Spain, was often raised into the air in the sight of all the sistenhool. Lead Orrary and Mr. Valentine Greatorex both informed Dr. Henry More and Mr. Glasvil that at Lord Cosway's bosse at Ragley in Iraland, a goulteman's butter, in their presence and in bread daylight, rose into the air and flosted about the reom above their lends. This is related by Magiery in Irstand, a gentleman's butter, in their presence and in bread daylight, rose into the air and floated
about the room above their; heads. This is related by
Glanvil in his Seddacienus Triumphatus. A similar
fact is narrated by eyewitnesses of Ignatius 'do Loyela,
and Mr. Madden, in his life of Savonarola, after narrating a similar circumstance of that saint, remarks, that
similar phenomena are related in numerous instances,
and that the evidence upon which some of the narratives rest, is as reliable as any human testimony can be.
Butter, in his Lives of the Snietz, says that many undifacts are related by persons of undoubted verseity, who
testify that they themselves were eyewitnesses of them.
So we oil know that at least fifty persons of high
character may be found in London, who will testify
that they have seen the same thing happen to Mr.
Home. I do not adduce this testimony as proving that
the circumstances related really took place: I merely
bring it forward now to show how utterly unfounded is
Hume's argument, which rests upon universal testimony on the one side, and no testimeny on the other.

THE CONTRADICTORY SATURE OF HUME'S ESSAY.

# THE CONTRADICION NATURE OF HUME'S ESSAY.

I now have to show that in Hume's efforts to prove his point, he contradicts himself in a manner so grees and complete as is perhaps not to be found in the works of any other eminent author. The first passage I will quote is as follows:—

I will quote is as follows:—

"For, first, there is not to be fromed, in all history, any mirrich attented by a sufficient number of men, of such unquestioned pool sense, efunction, and describely, as to secure as against all debasion in themselves, of such undoubted integrify, as to place them beyond all semptions of any design to describe others; of such credit and reputation in the eyes of marking as to have a great deal to lose in case of their being detacted in any faischeod; and at the same time attention facts performed in such a public wanner, and in so erielected a performance of the credit of the cred

A few pages further on, we find this passage :-

A few pages further on, we find this passage:—

"There surely never was a greater number of mirneles asorified to one person, than those which were lately said to
have been wrought in France upon the tomb of Abbé Paris,
the famous Jamenist, with whose samelity the people were
long deleded. The curring of the sick, giving bearing to the
deaf, and sight to the blind, very corrywhere tailed of as the
same effects of the bely suppliers. The states is more extreted, and sight to the blind, very corrywhere tailed of as the
same effects of the bely suppliers. The states of more extreted, and sight to the blind, which were considered to the same of the party before
the spate before judges of unquestioned integrity, attested by
witnesses of credit and distinction, in a learnest age, and on
the most ensistent theore that is never in the ward. Not inthis
all. A relation of them was published and dispersed everywhere; nor were the Jesuits, though a learned body, supposed
by the civil magicistate, and determined ensemines to those
spintors, in whose favour the miracles were said to have been
wrought, ever able distinctly to refride or defect them. Where
stall we find such a number of circumstances, agreeing to the
corroboration of one fact? And what have we to oppose to
such a closel of our of the events which they related. And this,
surely, in the eyes of all reasonable people, will show be regarded as a sufficient referation."

In the second passage he affirms the existence of completely.

an argument which he was himself able to refute so completely.

We now have another argument which Hume brings

that appellation. And me as uniform experience amounts to a group, there is here a direct and full proof, from the nature of the fact, and the cuttered of any mixed; nor on any one of argement by appealing to the inherent impostant proof to destroyed, or the mixed cendered credible, but by an appealin proof, which is superior." no occurred never existed, and he entirely changes his ground of argament by appealing to the inherent impos-sibility of the fact, and not at all to the insufficiency of the oridence. He even makes this contradiction still more remarkable by a note which he has himself given to this passage, a portion of which is as follows:—

l'aris, insider blue que it Ganamia Installine i witces cammeter con integrity and capacity was never condestic, even by his ensimiler.

Integrity and capacity was never condestic, even by his ensimiler.

The threat of the new permeter to the rece by the cammidate, and for that news permeter to the rece by the cammidate, and the whole world, and indisposably creating but be visely federate...

"All who have been in France about that thus have been of the repeatation of Moss. Heranit, the lieutenant of Police, whose rigilance, penetration, activity, and extensive intelligence, have been described by the property of the property of

mimentum care perference upon a servant of his, whe had lived soveral years in his honce with a visito and pulpible interest.

It was a server of the control of the contro

It seems almost incredible that this can have been written by the great sceptic David Husse, and written in the same work in which be has already affirmed that in the same work in which be has already affirmed that in all history no such evidence is to be found. In order to show how very remarkable the evidence is to which he alludes, I think it well to give you one of the cases in greater detail, as recorded in the original work of Montgoron, and quoted in Mr. William Howitt's History of the Superscatural:—

Montgrone, and quoted in Mr. William Howitt's History of the Supernatural:—
"Maximosiselle Ositin was afflicted, amongst other allments, with a cancer in the left breast, for twelve years. The breast was destroyed by it, and cancer away in a mass: the efficient from the cancer was horrible, and the whole blood of the system was pronounced infected by it. Every physician pronounced to ease utterly incernible, yee, by a visit to the tone, the was perfectly cancel model that was prove administing the was perfectly cancel model that was prove attention; the was perfectly cancel model that was more attention; the was perfectly cancel model that was prove attention; the was perfectly cancel model that was proven a state of the was all freely and the state of the was a state of the state of the was a state

This is only one out of a great number of cases equally marvediess, and equally well attested, and we therefore cannot be surprised at Hume's being obliged to give up the argument of the insufficiency of the oridence for mirneless and of the uniform experience against them, the wonder being that he ever put forth an argument which he was himself able to refute so

forward, but which is, if possible, still weaker than the last. He says:—

"I may add, as a fourth resson, which diminishes the authority of predigins, that there is no testimony for any, even those which have not been supersely deterred, that is not optically the interpretation of the second of

Now this argument, if argument it can be called, rests upon the extraordinary assumption that a miracle, if real, can only come from God, and must therefore rests upon the extraordinary assumption that a miracle, if real, can only come from God, and must therefore support only a true religion. It assumes also that religions cannot be true unless given by God. Mr. Hume assumes, therefore, to know that nothing which we term a miracle can possibly be performed by any of the probably influite number of intelligent beings who may exist in the universe between ourselves and the Delty. He confounds the cridens for the fact with the theories to account for the fact, and most illogically and amphilosophically argues, that if the theories lead to controlled the confounds the cridens for the fact, and must lilogically and amphilosophically argues, that if the theories lead to controlled the confounds the fact the same state of the fact that if the theories lead to controlled the fact and the fact the same state of the fact the same state of the fact that it have now shown that—1. Hume gives a false definition of miracles, which begs the question of their possibility. 2. He states the fallory that miracles are isolated facts, to which the entire course of human testimony is opposed. 3. He deliberately and absolutely controlleds himself as to the amount and quality of the testimony in favour of nairacles. 4. He propounds the palpable fallery as to miracles connected with opposing religions destroying each other.

# MODERN GRIECITONS TO MIRACLES.

Wo will now proceed to some of the more modern arguments against miracles. One of the most popular modern objections consists of making a supposition and drawing an inference, which looks like a dilemma, but which is really none at all.

This argument has been put in several forms. One

This argument has been put in several forms. One is, "If a man tells me be came from Tork by the telegraph-wire, I do not believe him. If fifty men tell me they came from York by telegraph wires, I do not believe them. If any number of men tell me the same, I do not believe these. Therefore, Mr. Home did not float in the air, notwithstanding any amount of testimoson you may bring to prove it."

Another is, "If a man tells me that he saw the lion on Northamberland-house descend into Trafalgar-square and drink water from the fountains, I should not believe him. If fifty men, or any number of men, informed me of the same thing, I should still not believe them."

Hence it is inferred that there are overlain things so

forward, but which is, if possible, still weaker than the list. He says:—

I'l may ald, as a fourth reason, which diminishes the authority of predigies, that there is no testimony for any, even those which have not been expressly detected, that is not expected by any infinite number of witnesses; so that not only the minried controlled controlled to the scarce of religion, winnesser is different realizable. If no make this the better understood, let us consider that in matters of religion, winnesser is different religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible the religions of ancient is constray; and with a state of the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible the religions of ancient is constray; and with a state of the religion of ancient is constray; and with the system of the religions of ancient is constray; and with the system of the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible, the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible, the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is impossible, the religions of ancient is constray; and that it is intended merely to enumerist the proposition, that all of them allowed in microles, on its direct constraint and its value force, though more indirectly, to overthaw every other systems. In destroying a tival system, it likewise destroys the credit of those animales on which that system is constraint and the string of the religions of an unamed an unsumal a thing is the more and like its constraints and interest religions are stated as the second of the constraints of the original observe we require for it, that we all admit, better evidence could not the near the constraint of the cons

# THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE ASSERTED PHENOMENA OF MODERN STITITUALISM.

Another modern argument is used more especially against the reality of the so-called Spiritual phenomena. It is said, "These phenomena are so useertain, you have no castrol over them, they follow no law; prove It is said, "These phenomena are so uscertain, you have no castrol over them, they follow no law; prove to us that they follow definite laws like all other groups of natural phenomena, and we will believe them." This argument appears to have weight with some parsons, and yet it is really an abundity. The essence of the alleged phenomena (whether they be true or not, is of no importance) is, that they seem to be the result of the action of independent intelligences, and are therefore deemed to be Spiritual or superhuman. If they had been found to follow strict law and not independent will, no one would have ever supposed them to Spiritual. The argument, therefore, is marely the statement of a foregone conclusion, namely, "As long as your facts go to prove the existence of distinct intelligences, we will not believe them;" This argument appears to me to be childish, and yet it is used by some persons who claim to be philosophical.

# THE NECESSITY OF SCIENTIFIC TESTIMONY.

Another objection which I have heard stated in public, and received with applause is, that it requires immense scientific knowledge to decide on the reality of any un-common or incredible facts, and that till scientific men common or incremental state, and that it is elemanto men investigate and prove them they are not worthy of credit. Now I wenture to say that a greater fallacy than this was never put forth. The subject is a very important one, and the error is a very common one, but the fact is the exact opposite of what is stated; for I nessert that, whenever the scientific men of any age have denied the facts of investigators on a priori grounds, they have always been worse.

they came from York by telegraph wires, I do not believe them. He may number of men tell me the same believe them. He may number of men tell me the same in the facts of investigators on a priori ground, it is not a priori to the thing is impossible of the facts of investigators on a priori ground, it is not an applying to preve it."

Another is, "If a man tells me that he saw the limit of the facts of investigators on a priori ground, it is not an applying to preve it."

Another is, "If a man tells me that he saw the limit of the facts of investigators on a priori ground, it is not an applying to preve it."

Another is, "If a man tells me that he saw the limit of the facts of the facts

fully affirmed the truth of his observations and deductions.

Another branch of the subject was, if possible, still was treated. In 1825, Mr. McEnery, of Torquay, discovered worked flints along with the remains of extinct amimals in the celebrated Kent's Hole Cavers, but his account of his discoveries was simply laughed at. In 1840, one of our first geologists, Mr. Godwin Austen, brought this matter before the Geological Society, and Mr. Vivisa, of Torquay, sent in a paper fully confirming Mr. McEnery's discoveries, but it was thought too improbable to be published. Fourteen years later, the Torquay Natural History Society made further observations, entirely confirming the previous ones, and sent an account of them to the Geological Society of Leadon, but the paper was rejected as too improbable for publication. Now, however, for five years past, the cave has been systematically explored under the superintendence of a Committee of the British Association, and all the previous reports for forty years have been confirmed,

has been systematically explored under the superintendence of a Cosmittee of the British Association, and all the previous reports for forty years have been confirmed, and have been shown to be even less wonderful than the reality. It may be asid that "this was proper other case, the discoverers have been right, those who rejected their observations have been right, those who rejected their observations have been wrong.

Now, are the modern discoverers of some phenomena usually termed supernatural and incredible, less worthy of attention than these already quoted? Let us take, first, the reality of what is called chirroyance. The men who have observed this phenomenon, who have carefully thested it through long years or through their whole lives, will rank is scientific knowledge, and in intellectual shillity, as quite equal to any observers in any other branch of discovery. We have no less than seven eminent medical men, Drs. Elliotson, Gregory, Mr. H. G. Atkinson, Mr. Charles Bray, and Bron likelihood. With the history of previous discoverers before us, is it more likely that those aleven educated presents, it is more likely that those aleven educated presents, it is more likely that those aleven educated presents, knowing all the arguments against the facts, and investigating them carefully, should be all wrong, and those who say a priori that the thing is impossible should be all right, or the contrary? If we are to be an anything by history and experience, then we may safely prognosticate that, in this case as in so many others, the disbelievers in other men's observations will be found to be in the wrong.

We now come to the woodow whilescabled be all through the prognosticate that, in this case as in so many others, the disbelievers in other men's observations will be found to be in the woodow whilescabled be all wrong.

We now come to the modern philosophical objectors, most eminent among whom is Mr. Lecky, author of the History of Rationeries and the History of Moralls. In the latter work he has devoted some space to this question, and his clear and well expressed views may be taken to represent the general opinions and feelings of the educated portion of modern society.

Now, in these statements and arguments of Mr. Now, in these statements and arguments of sat-Lecky, we find some fallacies hardly less striking than those of Hume. His assertion that in certain stages of society an accretion of mirades is invariably formed round every prominent person or institution, appears to me to be absolutely contradicted by certain well-known historical facts.

The Church of Rome has ever been the great threa-

The Church of Rome has ever been the great theatre of miracles, whether ancient or modern. The most prominent person in the Church of Rome is the Pope; the most prominent institution is the Papary. We should expect, therefore, if Mr. Locky's attament be correct, that the Popes would be pre-aminently miracle-workers. But the fact is, that with the exception of one or two very early once, no miracles whatever are recorded of the great majority of the Popes. On the contrary, it has been generally among the very humblest members of the Romish Church, whether deepy or laity, that the power of working miracles has appeared, and which has led to their being canonized as saints.

Again, to take another instance, the most prominent Again, to say a south of the reformed churches is Luther. He himself believed in miracles. The whole world in his day believed in miracles, and miracles, though genea demonies character, continued rife in all Protestant churches for many generations after his death; yet there has been no accretion of miracles round this

Nearor to our own day we have Irving, at the head of a church of miracle-workers; and Joe Smith, the founder of the miracle-working Mormons; yet there is not the slightest sign of any teadoney to impute any miracles to either of these men, other than those which the latter individual claimed for himself before his seet was catablished. These very striking facts seem to me to prove that there must be some basis of truth in nearly every alleged usirancle, and that the theory of any growth or accretion round prominent individuals is utcrly without ovidence to support it. It is one of those convenient general statements which sound very alleged has the strength of t is utterly without evidence to support it. It is one those convenient general statements which sound ve plausible and very philosophical, but for which no prowhatever is offered

### THE BECLEVE OF BELIEF IN MIRACLES.

Another of Mr. Locky's statements is, that there is an alteration of mental coeditions invariably accompanying the decline of belief. But this "convribble accompanying the decline of belief. But this "convribble accompanisms" certainly cannot be proved, because the decline of the belief has only occurred once in the history of the world; and, what is still more remarkable, while the mental conditions which accomposited that one decline have continued in force or have even increased in energy and are much more widely diffused, belief has now for twenty years been growing up again. In the highest states of ancient civilization, both among the Greeks and Romans, the belief existed in full force, and has been testified to by the highest and most intelloctual men of every age. The decline which in the present century has certainly taken place, cannot therefore, be imputed to any general law, since it is but on exceptional instance.

an exceptional instance.

Again, Mr. Leeky says that the belief in the super-natural only exists "when men are destitute of the critical spirit, and when the notion of uniform law is yet unborn." Mr. Leeky in this matter contradicts himself almost as much as Hume did. One of the greatest advecates for the belief in the supernatural was Glanvil, and this is what Mr. Leeky says of Glanvil.

He says that Glanvil "has been surpassed in genius by few of his successors."

by fow of his successors."

"The predominating characteristic of Glanvil's mind was an intense exception. If has even been termed by a modern critic the first English writer who has thrown scoppicism into a definite form; and if we regard this expression satisaply implying a profound distract of housen faculties, the judgment on hardly be denied. And cortainly it would be difficult to find a work displaying less of credulity and aspectation than the treatise or. The Vanity of Dogmenting, "afterwards published as Securit Scientifics, in which Glanvil exponded his probably the abbent," In Pr. Senfortiewas Franquisters is probably the observable. Or. Henry Moore, the illustrious Boyle, and the superstyless emisses Dadworth, warmly supported Glanvil; and no writer comparable to these in shifty or influence appeared on the other citie; ; we the scopticism standily increased."

Agnin Mr. Locky thus speaks of Glanvil;

"It was between the writings of Bacon and Locke that that initialization school was formed which was irradiated by the gesius of Taylor, Glassift, and Hales, and which became the very centre and seedplot of religious liberty."

These are the men and these the mental conditions which are farourable to superstition and delusion!

The critical spirit and the notion of uniform law are certainly powerful enough in the present day, yet in every country in the civilised world there are now hundreds and thousands of intelligent. every country in the civilised world there are now hundreds and thousands of intelligent men who believe, on the testimony of their own senses, in phenomena which Mr. Lecky and others would term mirauolus, and therefore incredible. Instead of being, as Mr. Lecky says, an indication of "certain states of society"—" the normal expression of a certain stage of knowledge or intellectual power"—this belief has existed in all states of

society, and has accompanied every stage of intellectual power. Scerates, Plutarch, and St. Angestine alike, give personal testimony to supernatural facts; this testimony norer ceased through the middle ages; the early reformers, Latther and Calvin, throng the muks of witnesses; all the philesophers, and all the judges of England down to Sir Matthew Hale, admitted that the evidence for; such facts was irrefutable. Many cases have been rigidly investigated by the police authorities of writers countries and, as we have already seen. have been rigidly investigated by the police authorities of various countries, and, as we have already scen, the mirzeles at the teach of the Abbic Paris, which corurred in the most sceptical period of French history; in the age of Voltaire and the encyclopedists, were proved by such an array of evidence, and were so open to investigation, that one of the mobileone of that court—convisced of their reality after the closest scrutiny—suffered the martyrdem of imprisonment in the lisatile for insisting upon making them public. And in our own day we have, at the lowest estimate, many millions of believers in modern Spiritualism in all classes of society; so that the belief which Mr. Lecky imputes to a certain stage of instellectual culture only, appears on a certain stage of intellectual culture only, appears the contrary to have all the attributes of universulity.

## IS THE BELIEV IN MITEACLES A SURVIVAL OF SAVABLE чиосонт ?

The philosophical argument?

The philosophical argument has been put in another form by Mr. E. B. Tyler, in a lecture at the Hoyal Institution, and in several passages in his other works. Ho maintains that all Spiritualistic and other beliefs in the supernatural are examples of the survival of average thought among civilized people; but he ignores the facts which compel the beliefs. The thoughts of those educated men who know, from the oridence of their own senses, that things called supernatural are true and real facts, are as totally distinct from these of savages, as are their thoughts respecting the san, or thunder, or disease, or any other natural phenomenon. As well might he maintain that the modera belief that the san is a fiery mass, is a survival of savages thought, because

might he maintain that the modern belief that the sun is a fiery mass, is a survival of average thought, because some savages believe so too; or that our belief that cortain diseases are contagious, is a similar survival of the savage bleat that a man can convey a disease to his enemy. The question is a question of facts, not of theories or thoughts, and I entirely deny the value or relevance of any general arguments, theories, or analogies, when we have to decide on matters of fact. Thousands of intelligent men now living know, from personal observation, that some of the strange phenomena which have been pronounced aboutd and impossible by scientific men, are nevertheless true. It is no answere to these and no explanation of the facts, to tell them that such beliefs only occur when men are destitute of the critical spirit, and when the notion of uniform law is yet unborn; that in certain states of destinate of the crucia spans, that in certain states of society illusions of this kind heritably appear, that they are only the normal expression of certain stages of

society illusions of this kind inevitably appear, that they are only the normal expression of certain stages of knowledge and of intellectual power, and that they clearly prove the survival of savage modes of thought in the midst of modern civilisation.

I believe that I have now shown—1. That Humo's ments against miracles are full of unvarranted mptions, fallacies, and contradictions; 2. That the ern argument of the telegraph-wire conveyance and

assumptions, fallacies, and contradictions; 2. That the modern argument of the telegraph-wire conveyance and drinking stone-lion, are positively no arguments at all, since they rest on false or assumed promises; 3. That the argument that dependence is to be placed upon men of science and upon them only, is opposed to universal experience and the whole history of science; 4. That the philosophical argument se well put by Mr. Lerky and Mr. Tylor, rests on false or unproved assumptions, and is therefore valuedess.

In conclusion, I must again emphatically declare that the question I have been discussing is—in no way, whether miracles are true or false, or whether modern Spiritualism rests upon a basis of fact or of delasion,—but solely, whether the arguments that have hitherto been supposed conclusive against them have any weight or value. If I have shown, as I falter myself I have done, that the arguments which have been supposed to settle the general question so completely as to reader it quite unnecessary to go into particular cases, are all utterly fallacious, then I shall have cleared the ground for the production of orvidence, and no honest man desirous of arriving at truth will be able to erude an enquiry into the nature and amount of that evidence, by moving the previous question—that miracles are unprovable by any amount of heman testimeou, It is time that the "derisive and unexamining incredulity which has hitherto existed should give way to a less dogmatic and more philosophical spirit, or history will again have to record the melancholy spectacle of men, who should have known better, assuming to limit the discovery of new powers and agencies in the universe, and deciding, without investigation, whother other men's observations are true or false.

LORD LENDSAY, who gave much evidence to the Dislec-tical Society about the truth of Spirithal phenomena, is going to take a 12 inch reflecting delescope, made by Mr. Eurowing, to Cedis, to take photographs of the total edipse of the saw, which will be visible there on the inverty-second of exit

ST. JOHN'S ASSOCIATION OF SPIRITUALISTS. 

at the St. John's Hall. Geoperation-row, Cierkenvellt. Like mean was tolerably well filled, and Mr. J. J. Meese couglied the chair. The admission was free.

Mr. Saouram said that in this lost lecture he had pointed out that many of the spiritual powers exceed in the days of the result in some, for such powers was St. Paul space of large with as now, for such powers was St. Paul space of the gift of project space, and of the discontinued to the space of the

a cross.

Mr. Jagues said that as an equirer he had attended most Mr. Jagues said that as an equirer he had attended most Mr. Jagues he had become deeply interested in the subject! but one great impediment shoot in his path. All the spirits seem to do away with the atomement of Carist; they ignore completely that the blood of Carist cleaness from all sin; otherwise he was satisfied that there was much truth in Spiritualism.

seem to do away with the atomement of Christ; they ignore completely that the blood of Crists cleanes from all sin; otherwise he was satisfied that there was satisfied that there was satisfied that the sprit would it not of orcins or creect, fee if our relatives are the same now need cleanes creeced, feel four relatives are the same now need woman after that feel in the being so, they give their individual ideas to those with whom they commanicate. Wesleys, Swedenborgine, and Roman Catholic spirits, will all advance their own victors. In the being so, they give their individual ideas to those with whom they commanicate. Wesleys, Swedenborgine, and Roman Catholic spirits, will all advance their own victors.

Mr. Jaquine wasted to know whether the doctrine of occurning opportunity, and that Spirituralism has no sterrostyped doctrine. Sand that Spirituralism has no sterrostyped doctrine, and they opportunity of the same of those is the chereies, but certain plantament and provided in the same of the same in the charges, and payments to priests do no good, but spirits knue the opportunity of transversely to holy them.

Mr. Advant, with a same of the same in the charges of the same of the sam

and splittail symphility with the person whose measurement. Parages, speculary and that he had had private sittings with Mr. Weolnough, one of the mediums connected with the Association, and found that the sprints could not only read his own thoughts, but those of his relatives who lived for enough away from London—in Corawall. He had ascertained the reality of the thought-ending, by writing to his friends directly the sittings were over.

The proceedings then closed. There was a collection at the deer for Mr. Davies, who is very seriously ill, and who, as a medium, has done much good work in Clearwell.

PERLIMINARY stops will be taken to-night to start a Spiritualistic sciety at Eilburn.

Alin, Wentant Chooses, F.R.S., has recontly purchased Eil., Wentant Chooses, F.R.S., has recontly purchased Mr. C. F. Yahnary, C.R., the electricism, is now busily engaged in cabel-laying operations in the Modificerasean. Strengtonia control of Modificerasean. Strengtonia control of the strength of the stop of the first of his series of lectures in connection with Spiritualism, at the Public Hall, Norwood Junction. Although, owing to an ovenight, but factly-eight hours notice was given by pleacated of the lecture, the ball was televated by real-field. Hollowing the control of the same as the one given by pleacated of the lecture, the ball was televated by Mr. Jones in Clerkeswell, and reported in the first nowher of The Spiritualism, and reported in the first nowher of The Spiritualist, and the views were pointed by Mr. Bleidsield.

The Hartz-straker Mattriness, —The soviets in the Bechnere Boom, Harley-street, Cavendiab-square, began last night, when a paper was read by Mr. A. R. Wallese, F.L.S., which paper is published in full in this issue. The meetings will be held on every Moday evening for some time to come, according to the liberality of subserbors. Mr. Benjamin Geloma, who takes the repossibility, and does the work of gotting with the meetings, is one of the oldest supperters of the Spiritual was contracted by the paper in the proposed of the process of Spiritualism. In England, he cause he has been practically connected with the movement from the first, and has the faculty of speaking clearly and briefly.