

Letters for feeding
as varieties, such
will act judiciously

carried into the House
on Friday last,
upon the report
of H.S. to inquire how
the subject is dealt with

A been made at a
Queensland Audit
that over an area
of that intrigaive
and during a recent
to habit the above
area that by ordi-
nary wood which has
abundant, to get board
to Brisbane, and in
the of this scourge,
society is enjoying
ing no extra labour
months the labour
, when the ground
work is rather that
not there to eradi-
cated that a state of
ten years occupa-
tively struggling to
the encroachment of
might to a much
be prevented.

General Exhibition is
from August 25th
all horticultural
the exception of
added, owing to the
of the Phytosan-
machinery, imple-
mentations of any
the development

ately announced for
Brisbane, a dairy-
man had on many
before the magis-
teriated milk, and
able to offer was
the condition as he
the country. The
out of water in
the defendant was
10 lbs. gross.

Carried with the
proaching visit of
Altagarter 11th
day, of this session
implement trials,
closed. The site
the centre of the

concluded the appeal by stating: "Contributions in
money, clothes, &c., will be gratefully accepted by
the superintendence."

My object in writing to you on this subject is to
say, I think those of your readers who are willing to
respond to the above charitable work should, before
doing so, be made acquainted with the fact that this
is a Roman Catholic institution, which might not be
inferred from the way in which it is inserted in the
Chronicle, notwithstanding the word "superintendence" is
used.

If you will kindly insert this in your paper you
will greatly oblige.—Yours truly, R. H. CHABE.

THE HAMPDEN-WALLACE LIBEL CASE.

To the Editor of the Chelmsford Chronicle.

SIR.—I should not have thought it necessary to
say another word on the matter between myself and
Mr. Hampden, had you not last week addressed a
kind of exclamation to myself. Your remarks show
that you are ignorant of certain facts which in the
opinion of impartial lookers on, as well as of all my
friends, render the course you suggest altogether
out of the question. I beg, therefore, to be allowed
to state, as briefly as I can, what these facts are.

1. It is not the case, as you seem to suppose, that
the experiment I tried was sure to succeed. It was
to be made on a canal in a few districts, and was
strictly limited by Mr. H. to the space of a few weeks
in the months of February and March, 1870. It is
well known that unusual refraction sometimes renders
distant objects so as to neutralise or even reverse
the evidence of curvature. Had this happened on
the only fine day we found for the experiment I
should most certainly have lost my £500. (See
Flammarion's work on "The atmosphere" for such
cases of unusual refraction).

2. Mr. Hampden, however, had no such doubt on
his side, for the excellent reason that he believed
the experiment had been repeatedly tried on the very
spot in question, by Parallaz himself, the teacher of
Mr. H. on this matter. In "Celestial Astronomy, the
earth not a globe," by Parallaz, pages 11 to 17, are
full details of these experiments, with diagrams,
which he states have been repeated many times
during 24 years! This was the very book which con-
vinced Mr. Hampden. If either of us, therefore, is
liable to the charge of having wagered on what he
believed to be a certainty, it is Mr. Hampden, not
me.

3. Mr. Hampden chose for his referee a man who
had for years been a disciple of "Parallaz"—had
written a book advocating the flatness of the earth—
and this book (block and copyright) had been pur-
chased from him by Mr. H. about two months before
the experiment. Yet, in face of my written stipulation
that Mr. Hampden's referee should not be a
"personal acquaintance" of his, this man was ap-
pointed; and accepted by me in full confidence that
I should not be deceived. I and my friends, as well
as the Editor of the Field (Mr. J. H. Walsh) con-

FRIDAY, MARCH 19, 1875.

considered the appointment of this man by Mr. Hampden as grossly improper and unfair at the very outset. (Field, March 20th, 1870.)

From the moment the experiment was made Mr. Hampden has claimed to have won the wager, on the ground that the water is really a plane, and that my experiment showed it to be so! And he still persists in this view, claiming not only the money he lost but my money which he says he has won. I have numerous letters extending over nearly five years, in which he declares that he will never leave me in peace until I have paid him the whole £1,000.

I leave your readers therefore to judge what my position would have been had I given Mr. Hampden back his money (and thus, in his view, have acknowledged my failure to prove what I had undertaken to prove); unless I were also prepared to pay him another £500. They will also judge whether Mr. Hampden's conduct from the first has deserved any such consideration on my part. And finally I leave them to form their own judgment as to whether, after suffering five years of unceasing libels, and after having spent very large sums in the civil and criminal litigation he has forced upon me, and which is not yet terminated, I owe him any such consideration now.

The facts here stated can be almost all proved by reference to papers issued by Mr. Hampden himself and his referee Mr. Carpenter.

ALFRED R. WALLACE.

TWELVE PARADOXICAL PROOFS OF THE EARTH'S ROTUNDITY.

A correspondent forwards the following, prefaced by a letter, which we withhold because of its personal and irrelevant character:-

"1. That there exists an Order in Council, as well as of both Houses of Parliament, forbidding any allowances, in ordnance surveys, for the curvature of the earth's surface, which same rule applies also to the construction of all works."

STRONG

EX

B A R L

SPECIA

SPECIAL

SPECIAL

And other P

JONES & H

FIVE P

A Correspondent

"Our Annual
five out of the S
or route were in
Mauritius, which
manure is t
Also the Bridg

"The Manu
and of great w
gained both p
wonderful. Th
in Fison's Ma

EASTERN

MA

ROY